Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) ● 2012 RESULTS

Questions about MPMP results should be addressed to:

Name: Linda Van Alstine	Phone: 613-267-6500	
Title: Treasurer		
Municipality: Township of Drummond/North Elmsley		
Email: Ivanalstine@dnetownship.ca		

Local Government

	1.1 G	ENERAL GOV	/ERNMENT -	EFFICIENCY		
		2012	2011	2010	2009	
1.1 a)	Operating costs for governance and corporate management as a percentage of total municipal operating costs.	12.1%	11.9%	13.3%	10.0%	
1.1 b)	Total costs for governance and corporate management as a percentage of total municipal costs.	10.9%	10.5%	11.4%		

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient local government.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 0206 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 0206 45 (Total costs measure).

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2012 RESULTS

Fire Services

:	2.1 FIRE SER	VICES – EFFI	CIENCY	
	2012	2011	2010	2009
2.1 a) Operating costs for fire services per \$1,000 of assessment.	\$ 0.31	\$ 0.35	\$ 0.33	\$ 0.32
2.1 b) Total costs for fire services per \$1,000 of assessment.	\$ 0.35	\$ 0.39	\$ 0.37	

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient fire services.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 1103 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 1103 45 (Total costs measure).

2.2 & 2.3 CIVILIAN FIRE RELATED INJURIES – EFFECTIVENESS				
	2012	2011	2010	2009
2.2 Number of residential fire related civilian injuries per 1,000 persons.	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2.3 Number of residential fire related civilian injuries averaged over 5 years per 1,000 persons.	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000

OBJECTIVE:

Minimize the number of civilian injuries in residential fires.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

• Financial Information Return: 92 1151 07 (2.2) and 92 1152 07 (2.3).

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) ● 2012 RESULTS

	2012	2011	2010	2009
2.4 Number of residential fire related civilian fatalities per 1,000 persons.	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2.5 Number of residential fire related civilian fatalities averaged over 5 years per 1,000 persons.	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
OBJECTIVE: Minimize the number of civilian fatalities in	residential fires		I	

REFERENCE:

• Financial Information Return: 92 1155 07 (2.4) and 92 1156 07 (2.5).

	2.6 NUMBER OF RE	ESIDENTIAL S	TRUCTURAL	FIRES – EFF	ECTIVENESS	
		2012	2011	2010	2009	
2.6	Number of residential structural fires per 1,000 households.	0.841	1.414	0.572	0.292	
	OBJECTIVE: Minimize the number of residential stru	ctural fires.				
	NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDE	ERSTANDING RI	ESULTS:			

REFERENCE:

• Financial Information Return: 92 1160 07.

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) ● 2012 RESULTS

Police Services

3.	1 POL	ICE SE	RVIC	CES – EFF	FICIE	NCY		
		2012		2011		2010	2009	
3.1 a) Operating costs for police services per person. ¹	\$	101.84	\$	103.76	\$	119.08	\$ 99.25	
3.1 b) Total costs for police services per person.	\$	101.84	\$	103.76	\$	119.08		

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient police services.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- ¹ As of 2009, the efficiency measures for police services do not include expenses for prisoner transportation or court security since expenses for these services are being uploaded to the province over a number of years. The efficiency measures for police services align with effectiveness measures based on crime rates.
- Financial Information Return: 91 1204 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 1204 45 (Total costs measure).

3.2 VI	OLENT CRIME	RATE – EFF	ECTIVENESS		
	2012	2011	2010	2009	
3.2 Violent crime rate per 1,000 persons. ¹	3.6	3.6	5.4	2.9	

OBJECTIVE:

Safe communities.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

- ¹ Statistics Canada has expanded the definition of violent crime. Therefore, prior years are not comparable unless restated.
- Financial Information Return: 92 1258 07.

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) ● 2012 RESULTS

3.3 PRC	PERTY CRIM	IE RATE – EF	FECTIVENES:	S	
	2012	2011	2010	2009	
3.3 Property crime rate per 1,000 persons. ¹	10.3	13.5	17.1	16.5	

OBJECTIVE:

Safe communities.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

- ¹ Statistics Canada has expanded the definition of property crime. Therefore, prior years are not comparable unless restated.
- Financial Information Return: 92 1259 07.

3.4 T	OTAL CRIME	RATE – EFFE	CTIVENESS		
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
3.4 Total crime rate per 1,000 persons (<i>Criminal Code</i> offences, excluding traffic).	16.6	18.7	24.2	22.3	19.6

OBJECTIVE:

Safe communities.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

• Financial Information Return: 92 1263 07.

3.5 Y	OUTH CRIME	RATE – EFFE	CTIVENESS		
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
3.5 Youth crime rate per 1,000 youths.	3.1	3.1	11.0	11.0	18.9

OBJECTIVE:

Safe communities.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

• Financial Information Return: 92 1265 07.

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) ● 2012 RESULTS

Building Permits & Inspection Services

2012 2011	4.1 BUILDING P
4.1 a) Operating costs for building permits and inspection services per \$1,000 of construction activity (based on permits issued). \$ 5.92 \$ 8.77	and inspection services per \$1,000 of construction activity (based on
4.1 b) Total costs for building permits and inspection services per \$1,000 of construction activity (based on permits issued). \$ 5.98 \$ 8.96	inspection services per \$1,000 of construction activity (based on

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient building permits and inspection services.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

- MPMP measures for building permits and inspection services were introduced in 2011.
- Financial Information Return: 91 1301 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 1301 45 (Total costs measure).

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) ◆ 2012 RESULTS

4.2 Median number of days to review a complete building permit application and issue a permit or not issue a permit, and provide all reasons for refusal: a) Category 1: Houses (houses not exceeding 3 storeys/600 square metres). Reference: provincial standard is 10 working days. b) Category 2: Small Buildings (small commercial/industrial not exceeding 3 storeys/600 square metres). Reference: provincial standard is 15 working days. c) Category 3: Large Buildings (large residential/commercial/industrial/ institutional). Reference: provincial standard is 20 working days. d) Category 4: Complex buildings (post disaster buildings, including hospitals, power/water, fire/police/EMS, communications). Reference: provincial standard is 30 working days. OBJECTIVE: Complete building permit applications are processed quickly and accurately.	Median number of days to review a complete building permit application and issue a permit or not issue a permit, and provide all reasons for refusal: a) Category 1: Houses
application and issue a permit or not issue a permit, and provide all reasons for refusal: a) Category 1: Houses (houses not exceeding 3 storeys/600 square metres). Reference: provincial standard is 10 working days. b) Category 2: Small Buildings (small commercial/industrial not exceeding 3 storeys/600 square metres). Reference: provincial standard is 15 working days. c) Category 3: Large Buildings (large residential/commercial/industrial/ institutional). Reference: provincial standard is 20 working days. d) Category 4: Complex buildings (post disaster buildings, including hospitals, power/water, fire/police/EMS, communications). Reference: provincial standard is 30 working days. OBJECTIVE: Complete building permit applications are processed quickly and accurately.	application and issue a permit or not issue a permit, and provide all reasons for refusal: a) Category 1: Houses
(houses not exceeding 3 storeys/600 square metres). Reference: provincial standard is 10 working days. b) Category 2: Small Buildings (small commercial/industrial not exceeding 3 storeys/600 square metres). Reference: provincial standard is 15 working days. c) Category 3: Large Buildings (large residential/commercial/industrial/institutional). Reference: provincial standard is 20 working days. d) Category 4: Complex buildings (post disaster buildings, including hospitals, power/water, fire/police/EMS, communications). Reference: provincial standard is 30 working days. OBJECTIVE: Complete building permit applications are processed quickly and accurately.	
(small commercial/industrial not exceeding 3 storeys/600 square metres). Reference: provincial standard is 15 working days. c) Category 3: Large Buildings (large residential/commercial/industrial/ institutional). Reference: provincial standard is 20 working days. d) Category 4: Complex buildings (post disaster buildings, including hospitals, power/water, fire/police/EMS, communications). Reference: provincial standard is 30 working days. OBJECTIVE: Complete building permit applications are processed quickly and accurately.	square metres). 4 7 Reference: provincial standard is 10
(large residential/commercial/industrial/ institutional). Reference: provincial standard is 20 working days. d) Category 4: Complex buildings (post disaster buildings, including hospitals, power/water, fire/police/EMS, communications). Reference: provincial standard is 30 working days. OBJECTIVE: Complete building permit applications are processed quickly and accurately.	(small commercial/industrial not exceeding 3 storeys/600 square metres). Reference: provincial standard is 15
(post disaster buildings, including hospitals, power/water, fire/police/EMS, communications). Reference: provincial standard is 30 working days. OBJECTIVE: Complete building permit applications are processed quickly and accurately.	(large residential/commercial/industrial/ institutional). 10 14 Reference: provincial standard is 20
Complete building permit applications are processed quickly and accurately.	(post disaster buildings, including hospitals, power/water, fire/police/EMS, communications). Reference: provincial standard is 30
	REFERENCE:
	 MPMP measures for building permits and inspection services were introduced in 2011. Financial Information Return: (a) 91 1351 07, (b) 91 1352 07, (c) 91 1353 07, (d) 91 135

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) ● 2012 RESULTS

Roads

5.1 PAVED ROADS – EFFICIENCY								
		2012		2011		2010		
5.1 a) Operating costs for paved (hard top) roads per lane kilometre. ¹	\$	184.01	\$	164.30	\$	169.01		
5.1 b) Total costs for paved (hard top) roads per lane kilometre.	\$	2,581.48	\$	2,744.37	\$	3,365.66		

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient maintenance of paved roads.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

- ¹ The formulas for efficiency measures for paved roads were revised in 2010 to net out revenue received from utilities for utility cut repairs.
- The total cost measure was also revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 2111 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 2111 45 (Total costs measure).

5.2 UNPAVED ROADS – EFFICIENCY									
		2012		2011		2010		2009	
5.2 a) Operating costs for unpaved (loose top) roads per lane kilometre.	\$	1,451.27	\$	1,052.35	\$	1,243.61	\$	1,243.27	
5.2 b) Total costs for unpaved (loose top) roads per lane kilometre.	\$	1,543.40	\$	1,164.96	\$	1,363.85			

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient maintenance of unpaved roads.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 2110 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 2110 45 (Total costs measure).

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) ● 2012 RESULTS

5.3 BRIDGES AND CULVERTS – EFFICIENCY								
		2012	2	2011	2010		2009	
5.3 a)	Operating costs for bridges and culverts per square metre of surface area.	\$ 1.78	3	\$ 0.23	\$ 1.36	\$	0.67	
5.3 b)	Total costs for bridges and culverts per square metre of surface area.	\$ 2.34		\$ 0.80	\$ 1.92			

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient maintenance of bridges and culverts.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 2130 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 2130 45 (Total costs measure).

	5.4 WINTER MAINTENANCE OF ROADS – EFFICIENCY								
			2012		2011		2010		2009
5.4 a)	Operating costs for winter maintenance of roadways per lane kilometre maintained in winter.	\$	697.59	\$	469.16	\$	401.04	\$	404.27
5.4 b)	Total costs for winter maintenance of roadways per lane kilometre maintained in winter.	\$	741.87	\$	518.36	\$	439.82		

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient winter maintenance of roads.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

There were 38 winter events in 2012 while there were only 24 in 2011.

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 2205 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 2205 45 (Total costs measure).

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2012 RESULTS

5.5 ADEQU	JACY OF PAV	ED ROADS -	EFFECTIVEN	ESS	
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
5.5 Percentage of paved lane kilometres where the condition is rated as good to very good. ¹	79%	90%	92%	84%	79%

OBJECTIVE:

Pavement condition meets municipal objectives.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

The information is based on a study done for Asset Management before any construction was done for the 2013 season.

REFERENCE:

- ¹ Pavement condition is rated using a Pavement Condition Index (PCI) such as the Index used by the Ontario Good Roads Association (OGRA) or the Ministry of Transportation's Roads Inventory Management System (RIMS).
- Financial Information Return: 92 2152 07.

5.6 ADEQUACY	OF BRIDGES	AND CULVER	RTS – EFFECT	TIVENESS	
	2012	2011	2010	2009	
5.6 Percentage of bridges and culverts where the condition is rated as good to very good. ¹	94%	94%	94%	94%	

OBJECTIVE:

Safe bridges and culverts.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

- The effectiveness measure for bridges and culverts was introduced in 2009.
- ¹ A bridge or culvert is rated as being in good to very good condition if distress to the primary components is minimal, requiring only maintenance. Primary components are the main load carrying components of the structure, including the deck, beams, girders, abutments, foundations, etc.
- Financial Information Return: 92 2165 07.

5.7 WINTER EVENT RESPONSES – EFFECTIVENESS							
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008		
5.7 Percentage of winter events where the response met or exceeded locally determined municipal service levels for road maintenance.	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%		

OBJECTIVE:

Response to winter storm events meets locally determined service levels for winter road maintenance.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

• Financial Information Return: 92 2251 07.

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) ● 2012 RESULTS

Solid Waste Management (Garbage)

10.1 GARBAGE COLLECTION – EFFICIENCY								
		2012		2011		2010	2009	
10.1 a) Operating costs for garbage collection per tonne.	\$	122.88	\$	127.43	\$	151.19		
10.1 b) Total costs for garbage collection per tonne.	\$	122.88	\$	127.43	\$	151.19		

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient municipal garbage collection services.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 3404 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 3404 45 (Total costs measure).

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) ● 2012 RESULTS

10.2 GARBAGE DISPOSAL – EFFICIENCY								
		2012		2011		2010	2009	
10.2 a) Operating costs for garbage disposal per tonne.	\$	158.01	\$	139.55	\$	93.56		
10.2 b) Total costs for garbage disposal per tonne.	\$	161.47	\$	142.61	\$	97.05		

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient municipal garbage disposal services.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 3504 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 3504 45 (Total costs measure).

10.3 SOLID WASTE DIVERSION (RECYCLING) – EFFICIENCY								
	201	2	2011	2010	2009			
10.3 a) Operating costs for solid waste diversion per tonne.	\$ 406.64	4 5	\$ 213.65	\$ 156.39				
10.3 b) Total costs for solid waste diversion per tonne.	\$ 406.64	4 5	\$ 213.65	\$ 156.39				

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient solid waste diversion (recycling) services.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 3606 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 3606 45 (Total costs measure).

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) ● 2012 RESULTS

10.4 SOLID WASTE N	MANAGEMEN	T (INTEGRAT	ED SYSTEM)	10.4 SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT (INTEGRATED SYSTEM) – EFFICIENCY									
	2012	2011	2010	2009									
10.4 a) Average operating costs for solid waste management (collection, disposal and diversion) per tonne.	\$ 284.51	\$ 224.46	\$ 180.02										
10.4 b) Average total costs for solid waste management (collection, disposal and diversion) per tonne.	\$ 287.42	\$ 226.83	\$ 182.56										

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient solid waste management (integrated system).

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

0.28

REFERENCE:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 3607 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 3607 45 (Total costs measure).

10.5 COMPLAINTS – COLLECTION OF GARBAGE AND RECYCLED MATERIALS EFFECTIVENESS								
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008			
10.5 Number of complaints received in a year concerning the collection of garbage and recycled materials per 1,000 households.	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			

OBJECTIVE:

Improved collection of garbage and recycled materials.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

• Financial Information Return: 92 3452 07.

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) ● 2012 RESULTS

10.6 NUMBER OF MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT FACILITIES EFFECTIVENESS									
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008				
10.6 Total number of solid waste management facilities owned by the municipality with a Ministry of Environment certificate of approval.	1	1	1	1	1				

OBJECTIVE:

Context for solid waste management facility compliance measure.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

• Financial Information Return: 92 3552 07.

10.7 FACILITY COMPLIANCE - EFFECTIVENESS

10.7 Number of days per year when a Ministry of Environment compliance order for remediation concerning an air or groundwater standard was in effect for a municipally owned solid waste management facility, by facility.

FIR	Facility Name	Days	Days	Days	Days	Days
line #		2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
3553	Code Road Site (Drummond)	7	0	0	0	0

List facilities in the order they appear in the 2012 Financial Information Return (FIR).

OBJECTIVE:

Municipal solid waste services do not have an adverse impact on environment.

REFERENCE:

- Facility Name: 92 3553 03 to 92 3562 03 in Financial Information Return.
- Days: 92 3553 07 to 92 3562 07.

10.9 DIVERSION OF RESIDENTIAL SOLID WASTE (Based on Combined Residential and Industrial/Commercial/Institutional Tonnage) EFFECTIVENESS

	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
10.9 Percentage of residential solid waste diverted for recycling (based on combined residential and ICI tonnage).	19%	22%	30%	17%	19%

OBJECTIVE:

Municipal solid waste reduction programs divert waste from landfills and/or incinerators.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

- ICI means Industrial/Commercial/Institutional.
- Financial Information Return: 92 3656 07.

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2012 RESULTS

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) ● 2012 RESULTS

Parks and Recreation

11.3 RECREATION FACILITIES – EFFICIENCY								
		2012		2011		2010		2009
11.3 a) Operating costs for recreation facilities per person.	\$	30.92	\$	32.10	\$	34.40	\$	30.04
11.3 b) Total costs for recreation facilities per person.	\$	31.90	\$	33.08	\$	35.47		

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient operation of recreation facilities.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 7306 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 7306 45 (Total costs measure).

11.4 RECREATION PROGRAMS AND RECREATION FACILITIES (SUBTOTAL) EFFICIENCY									
	2012	2011	2010	2009					
11.4 a) Operating costs for recreation programs and recreation facilities per person (Subtotal).	\$ 30.92	\$ 32.10	\$ 34.40	\$ 30.04					
11.4 b) Total costs for recreation programs and recreation facilities per person (Subtotal).	\$ 31.90	\$ 33.08	\$ 35.47						

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient operation of recreation programs and facilities.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 7320 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 7320 45 (Total costs measure).

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) ● 2012 RESULTS

	11.6 OPEN SPACE – EFFECTIVENESS									
2012 2011 2010 2009										
11.6	Hectares of open space (municipally owned).	1	1	1	1	1				
11.6	Hectares of open space per 1,000 persons (municipally owned).	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1				

OBJECTIVE:

Open space is adequate for population.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

• Financial Information Return: 92 7155 05 and 92 7155 07.

	11.8 INDOOR RECREATION FACILITY SPACE – EFFECTIVENESS									
		2012	2011	2010	2009	2008				
11.8	Square metres of indoor recreation facilities (municipally owned).	914	436	436	436	436				
11.8	Square metres of indoor recreation facilities per 1,000 persons (municipally owned).	121.2	58.2	63.8	63.8	65.4				

OBJECTIVE:

Indoor recreation facility space is adequate for population.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

The Township has three community halls which can be used by the public for indoor recreational functions and the square metres reflect these three buildings. The figure has been adjusted due to new information which was gathered for our Asset Management Plan.

REFERENCE:

• Financial Information Return: 92 7356 05 and 92 7356 07.

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) ● 2012 RESULTS

Libraries

12.1 LIBRARY COSTS PER PERSON – EFFICIENCY								
	20	012		2011		2010		2009
12.1 a) Operating costs for library services per person.	\$ 20.	.59	\$	19.52	\$	21.02	\$	18.61
12.1 b) Total costs for library services per person.	\$ 23.	.51	\$	22.79	\$	24.57		

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient library services.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 7405 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 7405 45 (Total costs measure).

12.2 LIBRARY COSTS PER USE – EFFICIENCY								
	2012	2011	2010	2009				
12.2 a) Operating costs for library services per use. ¹	\$ 0.63	\$ 0.84	\$ 0.94	\$ 1.09				
12.2 b) Total costs for library services per use.	\$ 0.72	\$ 0.99	\$ 1.10					

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient library services.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- ¹ The calculation of electronic library uses was updated in 2009 to include the number of people using the public library wireless connection. In 2011 three additional categories of reference transactions were added to the definition of library uses. This may affect the comparability of 2011 results with earlier years.
- Financial Information Return: 91 7406 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 7406 45 (Total costs measure).

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2012 RESULTS

12.3 LIBRARY USES – EFFECTIVENESS									
2012 2011 2010 2009									
12.3 Library uses per person.1	52.32	31.98	27.75	23.58					

OBJECTIVE:

Increased use of library services.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

- ¹ The calculation of electronic library uses was updated in 2009 to include the number of people using the public library wireless connection. In 2011 three additional categories of reference transactions were added to the definition of library uses. This may affect the comparability of 2011 results with earlier years.
- Financial Information Return: 92 7460 07.

Line numbers for prior years:

• The FIR reference for the measure, library uses per person, did not change in 2009.

12.4 ELECTRONIC LIBRARY USES – EFFECTIVENESS								
	2012	2011	2010	2009				
12.4 Electronic library uses as a percentage of total library uses. ¹	69%	53%	24%	10%				

OBJECTIVE:

Better information on library usage.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

- ¹ The calculation of electronic library uses was updated in 2009 to include the number of people using the public library wireless connection. In 2011 three additional categories of reference transactions were added to the definition of library uses. This may affect the comparability of 2011 results with earlier years.
- Financial Information Return: 92 7463 07.

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) ● 2012 RESULTS

12.5 NON - ELECTRONIC LIBRARY USES - EFFECTIVENESS							
	2012	2011	2010	2009			
12.5 Non-electronic library uses as a percentage of total library uses. 1	31%	47%	76%	90%			

OBJECTIVE:

Better information on library usage.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

- ¹ The calculation of electronic library uses was updated in 2009 to include the number of people using the public library wireless connection. In 2011 three additional categories of reference transactions were added to the definition of library uses. This may affect the comparability of 2011 results with earlier years.
- Financial Information Return: 92 7462 07.

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) ◆ 2012 RESULTS

Land Use Planning

		2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
13.1	Percentage of new residential units located within settlement areas.	20%	27%	13%	3%	5%
	OBJECTIVE: New residential development is occurring	within settlement	areas.		-	

REFERENCE:

• Financial Information Return: 92 8170 07.

		2012	VENESS 2011	2010	2009	2008
13.2	Percentage of land designated for agricultural purposes which was not re-designated for other uses during the reporting year.	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	OBJECTIVE: Preservation of agricultural land.				•	
	NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERS	STANDING RES	ULTS:			
	DEFENSE.					
	REFERENCE: Financial Information Return: 92 8163 	07.				

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2012 RESULTS

13.3 PRESERVA	TION OF AGRICUI EFFECTI		RELATIVE T	O 2000	
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
13.3 Percentage of land designated for agricultural purposes which was not re-designated for other uses relative to the base year of 2000.	63%	63%	63%	63%	63%
OBJECTIVE: Preservation of agricultural land.				<u>'</u>	

REFERENCE:

• Financial Information Return: 92 8164 07.

13.4 CHANGE IN NUMBER (OF AGRICULTUR EFFECTI		S DURING RE	EPORTING	YEAR
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
13.4 Number of hectares of land originally designated for agricultural purposes which was re-designated for other uses during the reporting year.	0	0	0	0	0
OBJECTIVE: Preservation of agricultural land.					
NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDE	RSTANDING RESI	JLTS:			

REFERENCE:

• Financial Information Return: 92 8165 07.

	13.5 CHANGE IN N		GRICULTURA CTIVENESS	L HECTARES	SINCE 2000			
		2012	2011	2010	2009	2008		
13.5	Number of hectares of land originally designated for agricultural purposes which was re-designated for other uses since January 1, 2000.	668	668	668	668	668		
	OBJECTIVE:							
	Preservation of agricultural land.							
	NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:							
	REFERENCE: • Financial Information Return: 92 81	66 07.						