Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2013 RESULTS

Questions about MPMP results should be addressed to:

Name: Linda Van Alstine	Phone: 613-267-6500
Title: Treasurer	
Municipality: Township of Drummond/North Elmsley	
Email: Ivanalstine@dnetownship.ca	

Local Government

CONTACT PERSON FOR LOCAL GOVERNMENT:

	1.1 GI	ENERAL GOV	ERNMENT - E	FFICIENCY		
		2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
1.1 a)	Operating costs for governance and corporate management as a percentage of total municipal operating costs.	12.9%	12.1%	11.9%	13.3%	10.0%
1.1 b)	Total costs for governance and corporate management as a percentage of total municipal costs.	11.7%	10.9%	10.5%	11.4%	

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient local government.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 0206 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 0206 45 (Total costs measure).

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2013 RESULTS

Fire Services

	2.1 FIRE	SERV	ICES – EFF	CIE	NCY		
		2013	201	2	2011	2010	2009
2.1 a) Operating costs for fire services per \$1,000 of assessment.	\$	0.30	\$ 0.3	\$	0.35	\$ 0.33	\$ 0.32
2.1 b) Total costs for fire services per \$1,000 of assessment.	\$	0.33	\$ 0.35	5 \$	0.39	\$ 0.37	

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient fire services.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 1103 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 1103 45 (Total costs measure).

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2013 RESULTS

		2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
2.2	Number of residential fire related civilian injuries per 1,000 persons.	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2.3	Number of residential fire related civilian injuries averaged over 5 years per 1,000 persons.	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
	OBJECTIVE: Minimize the number of civilian injuries in re	sidential fires.				
	NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERST	ANDING RESUL	.TS:			

REFERENCE:

• Financial Information Return: 92 1151 07 (2.2) and 92 1152 07 (2.3).

	2.4 & 2.5 CIVILIAN	FIRE RELATED	FATALITIES	- EFFECTIVE	NESS	
		2013	2012	2011	2010	200
2.4	Number of residential fire related civilian fatalities per 1,000 persons.	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2.5	Number of residential fire related civilian fatalities averaged over 5 years per 1,000 persons.	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
	OBJECTIVE: Minimize the number of civilian fatalities in	residential fires.		·		
	NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERS	TANDING RESU	LTS:			
	REFERENCE: • Financial Information Return: 92 1155 0	7 (2.4) and 92 11	56 07 (2.5).			

	2.6 NUMBER OF RE	SIDENTIAL ST	RUCTURAL	FIRES - FEFE	CTIVENESS	
	Z.S NOMBER OF RE	2013	2012		2010	2009
2.6	Number of residential structural fires per 1,000 households.	0.561	0.841	1.414	0.572	0.292
	OBJECTIVE: Minimize the number of residential struct	tural fires.				
	NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDER	STANDING RE	SULTS:			
	REFERENCE: • Financial Information Return: 92 1160	07.				

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) ● 2013 RESULTS

Police Services

CONTACT PERSON FOR POLICE SERVICES:

3.1	POLICE SER	RVICES – EFF	CIENCY		
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
3.1 a) Operating costs for police services per person.	\$ 98.86	\$ 101.84	\$ 103.76	\$ 119.08	\$ 99.25
3.1 b) Total costs for police services per person.	\$ 98.86	\$ 101.84	\$ 103.76	\$ 119.08	

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient police services.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 1204 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 1204 45 (Total costs measure).

	3.2 VIO	LENT CRIME	RATE – EFFE	CTIVENESS		
		2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
3.2	Violent crime rate per 1,000 persons.	3.7	3.6	3.6	5.4	2.9

OBJECTIVE:

Safe communities.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

• Financial Information Return: 92 1258 07.

	3.3 PRO	PERTY CRIME	RATE – EFF	ECTIVENESS		
		2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
3.3	Property crime rate per 1,000 persons.	8.7	10.3	13.5	17.1	16.5
	OBJECTIVE: Safe communities.					
	NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDER	STANDING RE	SULTS:			
	REFERENCE: • Financial Information Return: 92 1259	9 07.				

	3.4 TO	TAL CRIME R	RATE – EFFE	CTIVENESS		
		2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
3.4	Total crime rate per 1,000 persons (<i>Criminal Code</i> offences, excluding traffic).	14.3	16.6	18.7	24.2	22.3
	OBJECTIVE: Safe communities.					
	NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDER	STANDING RE	SULTS:			
	REFERENCE: • Financial Information Return: 92 126	3 07.				

	3.5 YO	UTH CRIME R	ATE – EFFE	CTIVENESS		
		2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
3.5	Youth crime rate per 1,000 youths.	23.0	3.1	3.1	11.0	11.0
	OBJECTIVE: Safe communities.	<u> </u>	,			
	NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDER	STANDING RE	SULTS:			
	REFERENCE: ● Financial Information Return: 92 1269	5 07.				

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) ● 2013 RESULTS

Building Permits & Inspection Services

		2013
Operating costs for building permits and inspection services per \$1,000 of construction activity, averaged over three years (based on permits issued).	\$	6.84
Operating costs for building permits and inspection services per \$1,000 of construction activity, averaged over three years (based on permits issued).	\$	6.89
Total costs, net of interest on long term debt, for building permits and inspection services per \$1,000 of construction activity, averaged over three years, (based on permits issued).		
OBJECTIVE: Efficient building permits and inspection	n servic	es.

- In 2013, the formula for the denominator of the MPMP efficiency measures for building permits and inspection services was changed to a three year average for total construction activity, divided by \$1,000.
- Financial Information Return: 91 1302 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 1302 45 (Total costs measure).

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2013 RESULTS

	4.2 REVIEW OF COMPLE	TE BUILDING F	PERMIT APPL	LICATIONS -
		2013	2012	2011
4.2	Median number of days to review a compermit or not issue a permit, and provide			and issue a
a)	Category 1: Houses (houses not exceeding 3 storeys/600 square metres). Reference: provincial standard is 10 working days.	6	4	7
b)	Category 2: Small Buildings (small commercial/industrial not exceeding 3 storeys/600 square metres). Reference: provincial standard is 15 working days.	10	10	7
c)	Category 3: Large Buildings (large residential/commercial/ industrial/ institutional). Reference: provincial standard is 20 working days.	12	10	14
d)	Category 4: Complex buildings (post disaster buildings, including hospitals, power/water, fire/police/EMS, communications). Reference: provincial standard is 30 working days.	15	2	0
	OBJECTIVE: Complete building permit applications ar	re processed quic	kly and accura	tely.

- The effectiveness measure reporting the number of working days to review complete building permit applications was introduced in 2011.
- Financial Information Return:

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2013 RESULTS

4.3 Building Permits and Inspection Services - Effectiveness Category 1: Houses (houses not exceeding 3 storeys/600 square metres)											
			2013								
4.3 a)	The number and percentage of building permit applications which are submitted and accepted by the		129								
4.3 a)	municipality as complete applications.	%	75%								
4.3 b)	The number and percentage of building permit applications which are submitted and accepted by the	#	43								
4.3 b)	municipality as incomplete applications.	%	25%								
4.3 c)	The subtotal for the number of complete and incomplete building permit applications.	#	172								
	OBJECTIVE:										

REFERENCE:

- In 2013, effectiveness measures were introduced that record the number and percentage of complete and incomplete building permit applications, by category.
- Financial Information Return: 92 1256 05, 92 1256 06, 92 1256 07, 92 1256 09, 92 1256 10.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

	4.3 Building Pe	Cat
	Small Buildings (small comme	
4.3 a)	The number and percentage of	2013
,	building permit applications which # are submitted and accepted by the	15
4.3 a)	municipality as complete applications. %	75%
4.3 b)	The number and percentage of building permit applications which # are submitted and accepted by the	5
4.3 b)	municipality as incomplete applications.	25%
4.3 c)	The subtotal for the number of complete and incomplete building # permit applications.	20
С	BJECTIVE:	
N	OTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERS	STANDING RE
	EFERENCE:	
	In 2013, effectiveness measures were acomplete building permit applications, b	
	Financial Information Return: 92 1257 (

	4.3 Building Permits and Inspection Services - Effectiveness Category 3: Large Buildings (large residential/commercial/ industrial/ institutional)										
			2013								
	The number and percentage of building permit applications which are submitted and accepted by the	#	2								
	municipality as complete applications.	%	100%								
	The number and percentage of building permit applications which are submitted and accepted by the	#	0								
4.3 b)		%	0%								
Í	The subtotal for the number of complete and incomplete building permit applications.	#	2								

OBJECTIVE:

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

- In 2013, effectiveness measures were introduced that record the number and percentage of complete and incomplete building permit applications, by category.
- Financial Information Return: 92 1258 05, 92 1258 06, 92 1258 07, 92 1258 09, 92 1258 10.

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2013 RESULTS

4.3 Building Permits and Inspection Services - Effectiveness Category 4: Complex buildings (post disaster buildings, including hospitals, power/water, fire/police/EMS, communications)

		2013
4.3 a) The number and percentage of building permit applications which are submitted and accepted by the	#	28
4.3 a) municipality as complete applications.	%	100%
4.3 b) The number and percentage of building permit applications which are submitted and accepted by the	#	0
4.3 b) municipality as incomplete applications.	%	0%
4.3 c) The subtotal for the number of complete and incomplete building permit applications.	#	28

OBJECTIVE:

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

- In 2013, effectiveness measures were introduced that record the number and percentage of complete and incomplete building permit applications, by category.
- Financial Information Return: 92 1259 05, 92 1259 06, 92 1259 07, 92 1259 09, 92 1259 10.

		2013							2013
4.4	The total number of building permit applications submitted and accepted by the municipality (all categories)		nd	acce	ccept	ted			
		222							222
	OBJECTIVE:								
	OBJECTIVE.								
	NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDER	RSTANDING RE	RS	FOF	OR U	UNDE	ERST <i>A</i>	NDIN	IG R
		RSTANDING RE	RS	FOF	OR U	UNDE	ERST <i>A</i>	NDIN	IG R
	NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDER	RSTANDING RE	RS	FOF	OR U	UNDE	ERSTA	ANDIN	IG R

Roads

5.1 PAVED ROADS – EFFICIENCY									
		2013		2012		2011		2010	
5.1 a) Operating costs for paved (hard top) roads per lane kilometre. 1	\$	207.04	\$	184.01	\$	164.30	\$	169.01	
5.1 b) Total costs for paved (hard top) roads per lane kilometre.	\$	2,472.29	\$	2,581.48	\$	2,744.37	\$	3,365.66	

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient maintenance of paved roads.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

- ¹ The formulas for efficiency measures for paved roads were revised in 2010 to net out revenue received from utilities for utility cut repairs.
- The total cost measure was also revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets
- Financial Information Return: 91 2111 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 2111 45 (Total costs measure).

5.2 UNPAVED ROADS – EFFICIENCY												
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009							
5.2 a) Operating costs for unpaved (loose top) roads per lane kilometre.	\$ 1,284.14	\$ 1,451.27	\$ 1,052.35	\$ 1,243.61	\$ 1,243.27							
5.2 b) Total costs for unpaved (loose top) roads per lane kilometre.	\$ 1,377.49	\$ 1,543.40	\$ 1,164.96	\$ 1,363.85								

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient maintenance of unpaved roads.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 2110 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 2110 45 (Total costs measure).

	5.3 BRIDGES AND CULVERTS – EFFICIENCY										
			2013		2012	2011		2010		2009	
5.3 a)	Operating costs for bridges and culverts per square metre of surface area.	\$	10.16	\$	1.78	\$ 0.23	\$	1.36	\$	0.67	
5.3 b)	Total costs for bridges and culverts per square metre of surface area.	\$	20.83	\$	2.34	\$ 0.80	\$	1.92			

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient maintenance of bridges and culverts.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

The calcualtion changed from previous years. The number of square meters previously used in this report were reduced from 19,574 to 1,417 and the corresponding operating costs were spread over the reduced number of square meters.

REFERENCE:

• The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2013 RESULTS

• Financial Information Return: 91 2130 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 2130 45 (Total costs measure).

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) ● 2013 RESULTS

	5.4 WINTER MAINTENANCE OF ROADS – EFFICIENCY											
			2013		2012		2011		2010		2009	
5.4 a)	Operating costs for winter maintenance of roadways per lane kilometre maintained in winter.	\$	623.87	\$	697.59	\$	469.16	\$	401.04	\$	404.27	
5.4 b)	Total costs for winter maintenance of roadways per lane kilometre maintained in winter.	\$	669.89	\$	741.87	\$	518.36	\$	439.82			

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient winter maintenance of roads.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

There were 42 winter events in 2013 compared to 2012 where there were 38.

REFERENCE:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 2205 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 2205 45 (Total costs measure).

5.5 ADEQUACY OF PAVED ROADS – EFFECTIVENESS											
		2013	2012	2011	2010	2009					
whe	centage of paved lane kilometres ere the condition is rated as good ery good. ¹	79%	79%	90%	92%	84%					

OBJECTIVE:

Pavement condition meets municipal objectives.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

- ¹ Pavement condition is rated using a Pavement Condition Index (PCI) such as the Index used by the Ontario Good Roads Association (OGRA) or the Ministry of Transportation's Roads Inventory Management System (RIMS).
- Financial Information Return: 92 2152 07.

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2013 RESULTS

5.6 ADEQUACY OF BRIDGES AND CULVERTS – EFFECTIVENESS										
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009					
5.6 Percentage of bridges and culverts where the condition is rated as good to very good. ¹	88%	94%	94%	94%	94%					

OBJECTIVE:

Safe bridges and culverts.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

- The effectiveness measure for bridges and culverts was introduced in 2009.
- ¹ A bridge or culvert is rated as being in good to very good condition if distress to the primary components is minimal, requiring only maintenance. Primary components are the main load carrying components of the structure, including the deck, beams, girders, abutments, foundations, etc.
- Financial Information Return: 92 2165 07.

5.7 Percentage of winter events where the response met or exceeded locally	5.7 WINTE	R EVENT RES	SPONSES – E	FFECTIVENE	SS	
the response met or exceeded locally determined municipal service levels 100% 100% 100% 100%		2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
	the response met or exceeded locally determined municipal service levels	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

OBJECTIVE:

Response to winter storm events meets locally determined service levels for winter road maintenance.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

• Financial Information Return: 92 2251 07.

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) ● 2013 RESULTS

Solid Waste Management (Garbage)

10.1 GARBAGE COLLECTION – EFFICIENCY											
		2013		2012		2011		2010	2009		
10.1 a) Operating costs for garbage collection per tonne.	\$	128.51	\$	122.88	\$	127.43	\$	151.19			
10.1 b) Total costs for garbage collection per tonne.	\$	128.51	\$	122.88	\$	127.43	\$	151.19			

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient municipal garbage collection services.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

Based on contracts.

REFERENCE:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 3404 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 3404 45 (Total costs measure).

10.2	10.2 GARBAGE DISPOSAL – EFFICIENCY										
		2013		2012		2011		2010	2009		
10.2 a) Operating costs for garbage disposal per tonne.	\$ 16	60.73	\$ 15	8.01	\$	139.55	\$	93.56			
10.2 b) Total costs for garbage disposal per tonne.	\$ 16	63.51	\$ 16	1.47	\$	142.61	\$	97.05			

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient municipal garbage disposal services.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 3504 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 3504 45 (Total costs measure).

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) ● 2013 RESULTS

10.3 SOLID W	10.3 SOLID WASTE DIVERSION (RECYCLING) – EFFICIENCY										
		2013		2012		2011		2010	2009		
10.3 a) Operating costs for solid waste diversion per tonne.	\$	264.12	\$	406.64	\$	213.65	\$	156.39			
10.3 b) Total costs for solid waste diversion per tonne.	\$	264.12	\$	406.64	\$	213.65	\$	156.39			

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient solid waste diversion (recycling) services.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 3606 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 3606 45 (Total costs measure).

10.4 SOLID WASTE M	IANA	GEMENT	(IN	TEGRATE	D S	YSTEM) -	- EFI	FICIENCY	7
		2013		2012		2011		2010	2009
10.4 a) Average operating costs for solid waste management (collection, disposal and diversion) per tonne.	\$	249.06	\$	284.51	\$	224.46	\$	180.02	
10.4 b) Average total costs for solid waste management (collection, disposal and diversion) per tonne.	\$	251.25	\$	287.42	\$	226.83	\$	182.56	

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient solid waste management (integrated system).

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 3607 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 3607 45 (Total costs measure).

	10.5 COMPLAINTS – COLLECTION OF GARBAGE AND RECYCLED MATERIALS EFFECTIVENESS											
		2013	2012	2011	2010	2009						
10.5	Number of complaints received in a year concerning the collection of garbage and recycled materials per 1,000 households.	1.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0						
	OBJECTIVE: Improved collection of garbage and recycled materials.											
	NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDER	STANDING RE	SULTS:									
	REFERENCE: • Financial Information Return: 92 3452	07.										

	10.6 NUMBER OF MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT FACILITIES EFFECTIVENESS 2013 2013 2011 2010											
		2013	2012	2011	2010	2009						
10.6	Total number of solid waste management facilities owned by the municipality with a Ministry of Environment certificate of approval.	1	1	1	1	1						
	OBJECTIVE: Context for solid waste management fac	cility compliance	measure.									
	NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDER	RSTANDING RE	SULTS:									
	REFERENCE:											
	 Financial Information Return: 92 355 	52 07.										

10.7	groundwater standard was in effect for a municipally owned solid waste management facility, by facility. Facility Name Days Days					
FIR line #	Facility Name	-	-	,	•	-
3553	Code Road Site (Drummond)	0	7	0	0	0
		ve an adverse i	mpact on enviror	nment.		
	REFERENCE: • Facility Name: 92 3553 03 to 92 356	2 02 in Einancia	I Information Dat	uro		
	 Pacifity Name: 92 3553 03 to 92 356 Days: 92 3553 07 to 92 3562 07. 	Z 00 III FIIIalicia	i illioilliation Ret	uiii.		

	10.9 DIVI (Based on Combined Res				onal Tonnage)	
		2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
10.9	Percentage of residential solid waste diverted for recycling (based on combined residential and ICI tonnage).	21%	19%	22%	30%	17%
	OBJECTIVE: Municipal solid waste reduction program NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDER			or incinerators.		
	REFERENCE:	tik vii o z ol				
	ICI means Industrial/Commercial/InstFinancial Information Return: 92 365					

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) ● 2013 RESULTS

Parks and Recreation

11.3 RECREATION FACILITIES – EFFICIENCY										
2013 2012 2011 2010									2009	
11.3 a) Operating costs for recreation facilities per person.	\$	33.50	\$	30.92	\$	32.10	\$	34.40	\$	30.04
11.3 b) Total costs for recreation facilities per person.	\$	35.81	\$	31.90	\$	33.08	\$	35.47		

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient operation of recreation facilities.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 7306 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 7306 45 (Total costs measure).

11.4 RECREATION PROGRAMS AND RECREATION FACILITIES (SUBTOTAL) EFFICIENCY										
	2013	3	2012	2011		2010		2009		
11.4 a) Operating costs for recreation programs and recreation facilities per person (Subtotal).	\$ 33.50	\$	30.92	\$ 32.10	\$	34.40	\$	30.04		
11.4 b) Total costs for recreation programs and recreation facilities per person (Subtotal).	\$ 35.81	\$	31.90	\$ 33.08	\$	35.47				

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient operation of recreation programs and facilities.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 7320 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 7320 45 (Total costs measure).

	11.	6 OPEN SPAC	E – EFFECTI	VENESS		
		2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
11.6	Hectares of open space (municipally owned).	0	1	1	1	1
11.6	Hectares of open space per 1,000 persons (municipally owned).	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
	OBJECTIVE: Open space is adequate for population.					
	NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDER	STANDING RE	SULTS:			
	REFERENCE: • Financial Information Return: 92 715	5 05 and 92 715	55 07.			

	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
 Square metres of indoor recreation facilities (municipally owned). 	914	914	436	436	436
.8 Square metres of indoor recreation facilities per 1,000 persons (municipally owned).	120.3	121.2	58.2	63.8	63.8
OBJECTIVE: Indoor recreation facility space is adequate	ate for population.	,			
NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDER	STANDING RESUL	_TS:			

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) ● 2013 RESULTS

Libraries

12.1 LIBRARY COSTS PER PERSON – EFFICIENCY								
	:	2013	2	012		2011	2010	2009
12.1 a) Operating costs for library services per person.	\$ 22	2.66	\$ 20	.59	\$ 1	19.52	\$ 21.02	\$ 18.61
12.1 b) Total costs for library services per person.	\$ 25	5.55	\$ 23	.51	\$ 2	22.79	\$ 24.57	

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient library services.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- Financial Information Return: 91 7405 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 7405 45 (Total costs measure).

12.2 LIBRARY COSTS PER USE – EFFICIENCY							
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009		
12.2 a) Operating costs for library services per use. 1	\$ 0.56	\$ 0.63	\$ 0.84	\$ 0.94	\$ 1.09		
12.2 b) Total costs for library services per use.	\$ 0.64	\$ 0.72	\$ 0.99	\$ 1.10			

OBJECTIVE:

Efficient library services.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

- The formulas for efficiency measures were revised in 2009 to reflect changes in the reporting of expenses consistent with accrual accounting concepts. New total cost measures were introduced and revised in 2010. Total costs mean operating costs as defined in MPMP, plus amortization and interest on long term debt, less revenue received from other municipalities for tangible capital assets.
- ¹ The calculation of electronic library uses was updated in 2009 to include the number of people using the public library wireless connection. In 2011 three additional categories of reference transactions were added to the definition of library uses. This may affect the comparability of 2011 results with earlier years.
- Financial Information Return: 91 7406 35 (Operating costs measure) and 91 7406 45 (Total costs measure).

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2013 RESULTS

	12.3 LIBRARY USES – EFFECTIVENESS						
		2013	2012	2011	2010	2009	
12.3 Librar	y uses per person.1	60.12	52.32	31.98	27.75	23.58	

OBJECTIVE:

Increased use of library services.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

- ¹ The calculation of electronic library uses was updated in 2009 to include the number of people using the public library wireless connection. In 2011 three additional categories of reference transactions were added to the definition of library uses. This may affect the comparability of 2011 results with earlier years.
- Financial Information Return: 92 7460 07.

Line numbers for prior years:

• The FIR reference for the measure, library uses per person, did not change in 2009.

12.4 ELECT	RONIC LIBRA	ARY USES – E	FFECTIVENE	SS	
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
12.4 Electronic library uses as a percentage of total library uses. ¹	75%	69%	53%	24%	10%

OBJECTIVE:

Better information on library usage.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

REFERENCE:

- ¹ The calculation of electronic library uses was updated in 2009 to include the number of people using the public library wireless connection. In 2011 three additional categories of reference transactions were added to the definition of library uses. This may affect the comparability of 2011 results with earlier years.
- Financial Information Return: 92 7463 07.

12.5 NON - ELECTRONIC LIBRARY USES - EFFECTIVENESS					
	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
12.5 Non-electronic library uses as a percentage of total library uses. ¹	25%	31%	47%	76%	90%

OBJECTIVE:

Better information on library usage.

NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:

- ¹ The calculation of electronic library uses was updated in 2009 to include the number of people using the public library wireless connection. In 2011 three additional categories of reference transactions were added to the definition of library uses. This may affect the comparability of 2011 results with earlier years.
- Financial Information Return: 92 7462 07.

Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP) • 2013 RESULTS

Land Use Planning

	13.1 LOCATION OF NEW RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT – EFFECTIVENESS										
	2013 2012 2011 2010 2000										
13.1	Percentage of new residential units located within settlement areas.	6%	20%	27%	13%	3%					
	OBJECTIVE: New residential development is occurring within settlement areas.										
	NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERSTANDING RESULTS:										
	REFERENCE: • Financial Information Return: 92 817	0 07.									

		2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
13.2	Percentage of land designated for agricultural purposes which was not re-designated for other uses during the reporting year.	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	OBJECTIVE: Preservation of agricultural land.	1	'	1	1	
	NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERS	TANDING RESUL	-TS:			

	13.3 PRESERVATION OF AGRICULTURAL LAND RELATIVE TO 2000 EFFECTIVENESS							
		2013	2012	2011	2010	2009		
13.3	Percentage of land designated for agricultural purposes which was not re-designated for other uses relative to the base year of 2000.	63%	63%	63%	63%	63%		
	OBJECTIVE: Preservation of agricultural land.							
	NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDER	STANDING RE	SULTS:					
	REFERENCE: • Financial Information Return: 92 8164	1 07.						

	13.4 CHANGE IN NUMBER C		URAL HECTA	RES DURING	REPORTING	YEAR
		2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
13.4	Number of hectares of land originally designated for agricultural purposes which was re-designated for other uses during the reporting year.	0	0	0	0	0
	OBJECTIVE: Preservation of agricultural land.					
	NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDER	STANDING RE	SULTS:			
	REFERENCE: • Financial Information Return: 92 816	5 07.				

13.5 CHANGE IN NUMBER OF AGRICULTURAL HECTARES SINCE 2000 EFFECTIVENESS						
		2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
13.5	Number of hectares of land originally designated for agricultural purposes which was re-designated for other uses since January 1, 2000.	668	668	668	668	668
	OBJECTIVE: Preservation of agricultural land.	1		1	l	
	NOTES & KEY FACTORS FOR UNDERST	FANDING RESU	LTS:			
	REFERENCE: • Financial Information Return: 92 8166 0	7.				